



**IN THE DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE
VOLTA REGIONAL FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION**

VRFA/DC/025/23

PANEL

- | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Ernest Yao Gaewu, (Justice) | Chairman |
| 2. Constant Dzakpasu | Member |
| 3. Felix Seloame | Member |
| Kingsley Broni- Agbezuge | Secretary |

22nd May, 2023

NADM SC VRS LIKPE FC

**PROTEST IN RESPECT OF 2022/2023 ADONAI ESTATE VOLTA
REGIONAL DIVISION TWO LEAGUE MATCH PLAYED AT HEPSS
PARK.**

PROCEEDINGS

In accordance with Article 56 of the GFA Statutes (2019) and 35(9) of the Regional Football Associations (RFAs) Leagues Regulations, this Disciplinary Committee (hereinafter referred to as “**The Committee**”) considered the deposition from NADM SC Club (hereinafter referred to as “**The Petitioner**”) with supporting attachments, and match reports from match officials.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

STATEMENT OF CASE OF NADM F/C

The Petitioner lodged a protest against Likpe FC (hereinafter referred to as “**The Respondent**”) for failing to report on time to honour a league match played against the Petitioner without a just cause during the Adonai Estate Volta Division Two League match played on 12th March 2023 at HEPSS contrary to Article(s) 22(1)(a)(b)(c)(d)(i) 33(f)(3) of the GFA Regional Football Association (RFAs) League Regulations. The Petitioner state the following

1. That the Petitioner reported for pre-match meeting at 9;00am
2. That the Respondent failed to turn up and the pre-match meeting was done with them.
3. That the match Commissioner called the Respondent and their claim vehicle broken down on the way.
4. That the kickoff of the said match was scheduled for 1:00am.
5. That the Petitioner walked on to the field expecting the match officials to do same but the referee was reluctant with the excuse that the sun was hot.
6. That the Petitioner's Team Manager approached the match officials to tell them they were not going to honour the game subject to Article 22(d)(i) of the GFA Regional Football associations Leagues Regulations

DEFENSE OF LIKPE FC

The Respondent did not file any response to the case.

FINDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND GROUNDS OF DECISION

The Committee at its sittings makes the following findings

1. That protest was lodged in accordance with Article 35(1)(3)of the Regional Football Associations (RFAs)League Regulations
2. That the protest was duly served on The Respondent in accordance with Article 35(4) of the GFA Regional Football Associations (RFAs) League Regulations.
3. That the day and time for the match was communicated to both the Petitioner and Respondent from the Committee's check from the Organsing Committee.

DECISION


It is the decision of The committee that;

1. The Petitioners' case is hereby upheld and that 3 points and 3 goals shall be awarded to the Petitioner, in accordance with Article 33(3) of the GFA Regional Football Associations (RFAs) League Regulations.

2. The Respondent is hereby fined GHC 300.00 of which 50% shall be paid to the Petitioner in accordance to Article 33(5)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations (RFAs) League Regulations.

3. The Respondent shall pay the fine in decision Two (2) above within ten (10) days in accordance with Article 37(8) of the GFA Regional Football Associations (RFAs) League Regulations.

4. The Respondent shall be liable to the consequential effect of Article 37(1)(b) and 37(8)(c) if the club fails to pay the fine imposed on the club in decision Two above within the specified period in decision Three(3) above.



Ernest Yao Gaewu (Justice)
Disciplinary Committee Chairman