



**IN THE DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE
VOLTA REGIONAL FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION**

VRFA/DC/018/26

PANEL

- | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Ernest Yao Gaewu, (Justice) | Chairman |
| 2. Constant Dzakpasu | Member |
| 3. Felix Seloame | Member |
| 4. Kingsley Broni-Agbezuge | Secretary |

26th February, 2026

SOGAKOPE CULE FC VS ADIDOME MONACO FC

**PROTEST IN RESPECT OF 2025/2026 VOLTA REGIONAL DIVISION TWO
LEAGUE MATCH PLAYED AT SOGAKOPE Wafa PARK.**

PROCEEDINGS

In accordance with Article 56 of the GFA Statutes (2019) and 35(9) of the Regional Football Association (RFAs) Leagues Regulations, this Disciplinary Committee (hereinafter referred to as “**The Committee**”) considered the deposition from Sogakope Cule FC (hereinafter referred to as “**The Petitioner**”) with supporting attachments, and match officials reports.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

STATEMENT OF CASE OF SOGAKOPE CULE FC

The Petitioner lodged a protest against Adidome Monaco FC (hereinafter refers to as “**The Respondent**”) for fielding unqualified team Officials during the Volta Regional Division Two League match day 6 between The Petitioner and The Respondent played on 3rd January, 2026 at Sogakofe Wafa Park. Contrary to Article 33(1)(f), 33(2)(a), 28(1)(a), 28(2)(a) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

The Petitioner’s case is that; the Respondent fielded unqualified Team Officials; Raymond Akpese (Coach) Torniyeli Boti (Secretary) and Brilliant Lod (Physio) who are not registered in the FIFA Connect System of the Respondent.

The Petitioners state Articles 28(2)(a)33(2) and seek relief that the match be declared null and

void and that 3 points and 3 goals be awarded to The Petitioners

The Petitioners attached Pre-match photographs of players of the Referee appointed for the said match, Captain of the Petitioner and three players of the Respondent. The petitioner also attached a Team sheets of Adidome that is not printed from the Competition Management System with hand written names as evidence in support of the case.

STATEMENT OF DEFENCE OF ADIDOME MONACO FC

The Respondent did not response to the case

FINDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND GROUNDS OF DECISION


The Committee at its sittings makes the following findings

1. That protest was lodged in accordance with Article 35(1)(3) of the Regional Football Associations League Regulations
2. That the protest was duly served on The Respondent in accordance with Article 35(4) of The GFA Regional Football Associations League Regulations.
2. That the photograph tendered in evidence by the Petitioner in support of the case includes players rather than the said Officials against whom the Petitioner files this case and this committee is unable to admit same in evidence from the Petitioner in adjudication of this case.
3. That the team sheet tendered in evidence by the Petitioner in support of the case is hand written and not from the CMS and this committee is unable to admit same in evidence from the Petitioner in adjudication of this case.
4. That the Petitioner fails to evidently proven their case and could not satisfy the provisions as per Article 33(12)(b) of the Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

DECISION

1. It is the decision of this committee that the Petitioners' case is hereby dismissed and that the result of the said match in this case shall stand.

2. A fine of GHC500.00 is hereby imposed on Petitioners for misleading the Committee in legal relations.
3. The Petitioner shall pay the fine in decision Two (2) above within ten (10) days in accordance with Article 37(8) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulation.
4. The Petitioner shall be liable to the consequential effect of Article 37(1)(b) and 37 (8)(c) if the club fails to pay the fine imposed on the club in decision (2) above within the specified period in decision Three (3) above.



Ernest Yao Gaewu (Justice)
Disciplinary Committee Chairman