



**IN THE DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE  
VOLTA REGIONAL FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION**

**VRFA/DC/007/26**

---

**PANEL**

- |                                |           |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Ernest Yao Gaewu, (Justice) | Chairman  |
| 2. Constant Dzakpasu           | Member    |
| 3. Felix Seloame               | Member    |
| 4. Kingsley Broni-Agbezuge     | Secretary |

**11<sup>th</sup> February, 2026**

---

**KRACHI ELEVEN BROTHERS FC VRS AQUAH FORCE FC  
PROTEST IN RESPECT OF 2025/2026 VOLTA REGIONAL DIVISION TWO  
LEAGUE MATCH PLAYED AT HENKEL PARK KETE KRACHI.**

---

**PROCEEDINGS**

In accordance with Article 56 of the GFA Statutes (2019) and 35(9) of the Regional Football Association Leagues Regulations, this Disciplinary Committee (hereinafter referred to as “**The Committee**”) considered the deposition from Krachi Eleven Brothers Football Club (hereinafter referred to as “**The Petitioner**”), with supporting attachments, and match reports from match officials.

**SUMMARY OF FACTS**

**STATEMENT OF CASE OF KRACHI ELEVEN BROTHERS FC**

The Petitioner lodged a protest against **Aquah Force FC** (hereinafter referred to as “**The Respondent**”), for fielding unqualified players during Match Day four played between the Petitioner and the Respondent.

The Petitioner claims that the Respondent impersonated unregistered player in place of Daniel Danso who was duly registered by the Respondent for the 2025/2026 League Season.

In support of their case, The Petitioners state Articles 26(4)(d) 28(1)(d), 28(2)(c) 33(1)(e), 33(2), and 33(5)(a)(b)(c), seek relief that the match be declared null and void and that 3 points and 3 goals be awarded to The Petitioner.

The Petitioner attached the following in evidence to their case:

1. Team sheet of the Respondent for the said match in this case.
2. A document from FIFA Connect System indicating the registration details of the said player.
3. The photograph of the said player in this case.

#### **DEFENSE OF AQUAH FORCE FC**

The Respondent did not file Statement of Defense to the case.

#### **FINDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND GROUNDS OF DECISION**

The Committee at its sittings makes the following findings;


1. That protest was lodged in accordance with Article 35(1)(3) of the GFA Regional Football Associations League Regulations
2. That the protest was duly served on The Respondent in accordance with Article 33(4) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
3. This Committee's confirmation from IT Officer of the RFA and the evidence provided by the Petitioner shows that the said player Danso Daniel was duly registered by the Respondent but an unregistered player was use by the Respondent in place of the registered Danso Daniel during the said match and the impersonated player is therefore not eligible to play in the match.

#### **DECISION**

1. It is the decision of the Committee that the Petitioner's case is hereby upheld and that 3 points and 3 goals shall be awarded to the Petitioner in accordance with Article 33(2) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
2. The Respondent shall lose 3 points from its accumulated points of the club in accordance with Article 33(5)(a) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
3. The Respondent is hereby fined GHC 500.00 of which 50% shall be paid to the Petitioner in accordance with Article 33(5)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

4. The Respondent shall pay the fine in decision Three (3) above within ten (10) days in accordance with Article 37(8) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

5. The Respondent shall be liable to the consequential effect of Article 37(1)(b) and 37(8)(c) if the club fails to pay the fine imposed on the club in decision Three (3) above within the period specified in decision 4 above.



Ernest Yao Gaewu (Justice)  
Disciplinary Committee Chairman