



**IN THE DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE  
VOLTA REGIONAL FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION**

**VRFA/DC/010/26**

---

**PANEL**

- |                                |           |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Ernest Yao Gaewu, (Justice) | Chairman  |
| 2. Constant Dzakpasu           | Member    |
| 3. Felix Seloame               | Member    |
| 4. Kingsley Broni- Agbezuge    | Secretary |

**11<sup>th</sup> February, 2026**

---

**KETA FC VS AKATSI SPORTING ROVERS FC**

**PROTEST IN RESPECT OF 2025/2026 VOLTA DIVISION TWO LEAGUE  
MATCH PLAYED AT ANSECO PARK**

---

**PROCEEDINGS**

In accordance with Article 56 of the GFA Statutes (2019) and 35(9) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations, this Disciplinary Committee (hereinafter referred to as **“The Committee”**) considered the deposition from Keta FC (hereinafter referred to as **“The Petitioner”**) and Akatsi Sporting Rovers FC (hereinafter referred to as **“The Respondent”**) with supporting attachments, and match reports from match officials.

**STATEMENT OF CASE OF KETA FC**

**SUMMARY OF FACTS**

The Petitioner lodged a protest against Akatsi Sporting Rovers FC for failing to honour a league match against the Petitioner without just cause during the Volta Division Two League Match scheduled for 14<sup>th</sup> December 2025 at Anseco Park contrary to Article 33(1)(f) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

The Petitioner's case is that;

1. The fixture of the said match was officially scheduled and communicated to both clubs by the Volta Regional Football Association.
2. Keta FC, match officials and other relevant stakeholders were present at Anseco Park for the said match.
3. The Respondent failed to honour the said match without just cause.

The Petitioners pray the Volta Regional Football Association Disciplinary Committee to invoke Articles 33(1)(f), 33(5)(a)(b)(c) of the GFA Regional Football Association Leagues Regulations and declare the said match null and void 3 points 3goals be awarded to The Petitioners.

### **DEFENSE OF AKATSI SPORTING ROVERS FC**

The Respondent in response to the case stated the following that;

1. The Respondent had written a letter to the organizing committee dated 12<sup>th</sup> December 2025, raising legitimate concerns about the nature of the Anseco Park which is not safe for football, but did not receive any response from the RFA Secretariat.
2. If the venue was good for Keta FC, why did they change from Anseco Park to Antech Park.

The Respondent further pleads with the Organizing Committee to reschedule the match between the Petitioner and Akatsi Sporting Rovers FC.

### **FINDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND GROUNDS OF DECISION**

The Committee at its sittings makes the following findings


1. That protest was lodged in accordance with Article 35(1)(3) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations
2. That the protest was duly served on The Respondent in accordance with Article 35(4) of the GFA Regional Football Associations League Regulations
3. That this Committee confirmed from the Organising Committee of the RFA, that the date and venue for the said match was duly communicated to both clubs ahead of time.
4. That Match officials were present at the match venue.

5. That Akatsi Sporting Rovers FC failed to honour the said match between the Petitioner and Respondent scheduled for 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2025 without just cause.

For the avoidance of doubt, this Committee established that fixtures for match day 4 for Mart 'D' Electricals Volta Division Two League matches were communicated/published on 7<sup>th</sup> December 2025 and this Committee could not side with the Respondent for not writing the in reaction to the said match in this case earlier than Friday 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2025, which was the last official day of the week before the scheduled date for the said match in this case. This Committee could therefore not admit the said letter from the Respondent as evidence for excuse of the Respondent not to honour the said match.

## **DECISION**

1. It is the decision of The Committee that the Petitioners' case is hereby upheld and that the Respondent will be considered having lost the match, and that 3 points and 3 goals shall be awarded to the Petitioner in accordance with Article 33(3) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
2. The Respondent shall lose 3 points from the club's accumulated points in accordance with Article 33(5)(a) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
3. The Respondent is hereby fined GHC500.00 of which 50% shall be paid to the Petitioner in accordance with Article 33(5)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
4. The Respondent shall pay the fine in decision Three (3) above within ten (10) days in accordance with Article 37(8) of the GFA, Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
5. The Respondent shall be liable to the consequential effect of Article 37(1)(b) and 37(8)(c) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations if the club fails to pay the fine imposed on the club in decision Three (3) above within the period specified in decision Four (4) above.



Ernest Yao Gaewu (Justice)  
Disciplinary Committee Chairman