



**IN THE DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE
VOLTA REGIONAL FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION**

VRFA/DC/21/26

PANEL

- | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Ernest Yao Gaewu, (Justice) | Chairman |
| 2. Constant Dzakpasu | Member |
| 3. Felix Seloame | Member |
| 4. Kingsley Broni-Agbezuge | Secretary |

19th March, 2026

ADIDOME UNITY STARS FC VS KLOKPO FC

**PROTEST IN RESPECT OF 2025/ 2026 VOLTA REGIONAL DIVISION TWO
LEAGUE MATCH PLAYED AT Wafa Park.**

PROCEEDINGS

In accordance with Article 56 of the GFA Statutes (2019) and 35(9) of the Regional Football Association (RFAs) Leagues Regulations, this Disciplinary Committee (hereinafter referred to as “**The Committee**”) considered the deposition from Adidome Unity stars FC (hereinafter referred to as “**The Petitioner**”) with supporting attachments, and match officials reports.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

STATEMENT OF CASE OF ADIDOME UNITY STARS FC

The Petitioner lodged a protest against Klokpo FC (hereinafter refers to as “**The Respondent**”) for fielding unqualified players during the Volta Regional Division Two League match day 13 between The Petitioner and The Respondent played on 8th February, 2026 at Wafa Park. Contrary to Article 28(1)(a), 28(2)(a), 33(1)(e), 33(2), and 33(5) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

The Petitioner’s case is that; the Respondent fielded unqualified players; Aliboe Pius, Joshua Lodo, Noah Kwamito, Droh Desmond, and that all the said players were not approved in the FIFA Connect System of the Respondent as at the time of the said match in this case.

Petitioner states Articles 28(2)(a), 33(2) of the GFA Regional Football Association and seek relief that the match be declared null and void and that 3 points and 3goals be awarded to The Petitioners

The Petitioner did not attach any evidence in support of their case.

STATEMENT OF DEFENCE OF KLOKPO FC

The Respondent did not file Statement of Defense to the case.

FINDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND GROUNDS OF DECISION


The Committee at its sittings makes the following findings

1. That protest was lodged in accordance with Article 35(1)(3) of the Regional Football Associations League Regulations
2. That the protest was duly served on The Respondent in accordance with Article 35(4) of The GFA Regional Football Associations League Regulations.
3. That the protest falls short of article 33(12)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations League Regulations that requires that burden of proof shall be incumbent on the protesting club. For the avoidance of doubt, the Petitioner could not tender any evidence in support of the case.

DECISION

It is the decision of The Committee that;

1. The Petitioners' case is hereby dismissed and that the result of the match shall stand.
2. The Petitioner is hereby fined GHC 500.00 for misleading this Committee in legal relations.
3. The Petitioner shall pay the fine in decision Two (2) above within ten (10) days in accordance with Article 37(8) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.
4. The Petitioner shall be liable to the consequential effect of Article 37(1)(b) and 37(8)(c) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations if the club fails to pay the fine imposed on the club in decision Two (2) above within the period specified in decision Three (3) above.



Ernest Yao Gaewu (Justice)
Disciplinary Committee Chairman