



**IN THE DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE
VOLTA REGIONAL FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION**

VRFA/DC/023/26

PANEL

- | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. Ernest Yao Gaewu, (Justice) | Chairman |
| 2. Constant Dzakpasu | Member |
| 3. Felix Seloame | Member |
| 4. Kingsley Broni-Agbezuge | Secretary |

19th March, 2026

**AGBOZUME WEAVERS FC VS AGBOZUME UNITED FC
PROTEST IN RESPECT OF 2025/ 2026 VOLTA REGIONAL DIVISION TWO
LEAGUE MATCH PLAYED AT SOSEC PARK.**

PROCEEDINGS

In accordance with Article 56 of the GFA Statutes (2019) and 35(9) of the Regional Football Association (RFAs) Leagues Regulations, this Disciplinary Committee (hereinafter referred to as “**The Committee**”) considered the deposition from Agbozume Weavers FC (hereinafter referred to as “**The Petitioner**”) and Agbozume United FC (hereinafter refers to as “**The Respondent**”) with supporting attachments, and match officials reports.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

STATEMENT OF CASE OF AGBOZUME WEAVERS FC

The Petitioner lodged a protest against Agbozume United FC for failing to honour a match during the Volta Regional Division Two League match Day 13 scheduled between The Petitioner and The Respondent to be played on 8th March, 2026 at Sosec Park contrary to article (33)(1)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

The Petitioner’s case is that the Petitioner and the Respondent went through the pre-match formalities at the venue of the said match in this case.

The Petitioner claims that match officials and uniform security were present at the match venue but the Respondent failed to report to the field of play for the match despite being present at the match venue.

The Petitioner further claims that the match officials were in position to start the said match but the Respondent failed to honour the said match without just cause.

The Petitioner states Articles 33(1)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations and Article 16(1)(c) of the GFA Disciplinary Code (2019) and seeks relief that the match be declared null and void 3 points 3goals be awarded to The Petitioners

The Petitioner attached a photograph of three police men further referred the Committee to the match officials reports as evidence in support of the case.

STATEMENT OF DEFENCE OF AGBOZUME UNITED FC

The Respondent in response to the case claims that the Petitioner could not provide the number of uniform Security Officers required for the match as at 4:00pm and in view of that the Referee called both parties and said because time constraints the match could not start and then called-off the match.

FINDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE AND GROUNDS OF DECISION

The Committee at its sittings makes the following findings

1. That protest was lodged in accordance with Article 35(1)(3) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations
2. That the protest was duly served on The Respondent in accordance with Article 35(4) of The GFA Regional Football Associations League Regulations.
3. That both teams were at the match venue and went through the pre-match formalities.
4. That the RFA Special Rules for the 2025/2026 league season refers; *“In addition to all other responsibilities of Clubs in accordance with the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations, Home Teams shall provide uniform security of not less than 3 for the match. Whereas match officiating officials shall determine whether or not more additional security is required for the match.”* Referencing the Special Rule as stated herein, it is clear that it is the prerogative of

the match official to determine whether or not more security are required before the commencement of a match and not the clubs.

4.This Committee confirmed from the Match Commissioner and match officials reports that the Petitioner provided 3 uniform security personnel before the start of the said match but the Respondent failed to report for the said match without just cause.

5.That the Respondent positioned itself in such way that the said match could not be played and therefore shall be liable to the consequential effect of Article 33(1)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations and article 16(1) of the GFA Disciplinary Code (2019)

DECISION

1. It is the decision of the Committee that the Respondent shall be considered loser of the match and that 3 points and 3 goals shall be awarded to the Petitioner in accordance with Article 33(2) and 33(3) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

2. The Respondent shall lose 3 points from its accumulated points of the club in accordance with Article 33(5)(a) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations.

3. The Respondent is hereby fined GHC 500.00 of which 50% shall be paid to the Petitioner in accordance with Article 33(5)(b) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulations

4. The Respondent shall pay the fine in decision Three (3) above within ten (10) days in accordance with Article 37(8) of the GFA Regional Football Associations Leagues Regulation.

5.The Respondent shall be liable to the consequential effect of Article 37(1)(b) and 37 (8)(c) if the club fails to pay the fine imposed on the club in decision (3) above within the specified time in decision four (4).



Ernest Yao Gaewu (Justice)
Disciplinary Committee Chairman